


FIXED INCOME

Emerging Markets Debt Review and Outlook

Emerging Markets Debt (EMD) began 2026 on a strong footing, extending the positive momentum seen through much of 2025, as supportive carry, stable fundamentals and constructive global risk sentiment underpinned performance early in the quarter. Strong inflows and a still-supportive global rates backdrop helped support EM assets through January and much of February. However, on February 28, the U.S. and Israeli airstrikes on Iran triggered a U-turn in risk markets. Rising geopolitical risks led to a reassessment of inflation dynamics and a meaningful repricing in U.S. rates, contributing to a broad reversal in investor risk appetite. U.S. rates moved sharply intra-quarter, with the 10-year Treasury yield ending the quarter (Q1) 15 basis points (bps) higher after a pronounced 49-bp peak-to-trough move, reflecting heightened sensitivity to the inflationary implications of higher energy prices and increased fiscal borrowing tied to the war.¹ The Federal Reserve left policy rates unchanged at its March meeting as policymakers were forced to take a cautious stance, given the battle between short-term inflation shock or medium-term growth hindrance.


Figure 1 | Indexes Yield-to-Worst



Sources: JP Morgan, Bloomberg LP as of March 31, 2026.

Commodity markets were a central focus throughout the quarter. Uncertainty around access to the Strait of Hormuz resulted in a severe disruption to global energy supplies, pushing Brent crude prices sharply higher. Oil prices surged 63% in March to end the quarter at \$118.35 per barrel, injecting a renewed inflationary impulse into global markets.¹ Gold reached record highs toward the end of January, driven by strong safe-haven demand amid equity market volatility and heightened geopolitical tensions, supported by robust inflows and expectations for lower real rates. Sentiment reversed sharply in March, with gold registering its worst monthly performance in over a decade. A key driver of the sell-off was increased gold selling by central banks, most notably Turkey and Russia. Rising U.S. yields reinforced the move, outweighing traditional safe-haven support and pulling gold, along with broader metals prices, lower into quarter-end.

Figure 2 | Commodities



Source: Bloomberg LP as of March 31, 2026.

*Gold values are adjusted by a factor of 10 for scaling purposes.

Against this backdrop, hard-currency EMD posted modestly negative returns for the quarter, with the EMBI Global Diversified Index returning -1.26%.² Performance dispersion increased notably, driven by differences in energy exposure, external buffers and fiscal flexibility. Latin America was the only EMBI region to post positive returns, benefiting from comparatively lower direct exposure to the conflict relative to other EM regions, though dispersion within the region remained pronounced. Venezuela was a standout outperformer following the formal resumption of diplomatic relations between the U.S. and Venezuelan


governments, marking another significant step in a rapid political and economic transition supported by reform momentum and phased sanctions relief.

Persistently elevated oil and refined product prices emerged as the dominant macro risk across EM during the quarter. Net energy importers underperformed, as higher fuel costs intensified balance-of-payments pressures and raised inflation risks, constraining policy flexibility. Countries with limited buffers and high external exposure, including Egypt, Zambia, Ukraine and Bahrain, were among the weakest performers. In contrast, energy-exporting sovereigns generally held up better, with Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries benefiting to varying degrees from existing sovereign wealth fund buffers. Qatar, Kuwait and the UAE were comparatively more insulated, while sovereigns with more limited buffers remained vulnerable in a prolonged conflict scenario. Beyond the region, the escalation of tensions also contributed to broader geopolitical uncertainty, with negative spillovers extending outside the Middle East.

EM corporate credit also faced a volatile environment during the quarter, though it proved relatively more resilient than sovereign markets. The CEMBI Broad Diversified Index returned -0.21% for the quarter, as solid performance in January and February was offset by weakness in March.³ Sector dispersion was pronounced: Oil & Gas performed best, benefiting from elevated energy prices, while Industrials also held up well. In contrast, Transport underperformed as higher fuel costs compressed margins, and Real Estate issuers in the CEEMEA region were pressured by proximity to the conflict. Despite market volatility, high-yield EM corporates generated positive returns over the quarter, supported by carry and issuer-specific fundamentals, while investment-grade corporates were more negatively impacted by the sharp rise in U.S. rates.

Local-currency assets underperformed during Q1, with the GBI-EM Broad Diversified Index returning -2.25%, as local rates and FX proved highly sensitive to rising inflation risks, FX volatility and tighter financial conditions, particularly in energy-importing economies.⁴ Higher oil prices strained external balances and reduced the scope for monetary easing, leading to pronounced rate and currency adjustments across several markets. Egypt, Turkey and South Africa were among the more notable underperformers, with currencies and rates pressured by worsening external positions and shifting investor positioning, while other energy-importing economies, including Thailand, Chile and Hungary, also faced pressure. In contrast, Brazil and Colombia were relative outperformers in local markets. Brazil benefited from higher oil production and exports, with improved terms of trade supporting the current account and fiscal revenues, though inflation risks could temper the pace of monetary easing. Colombia also performed well, supported by higher oil prices that improved trade balances and revenues, alongside a constructive shift in domestic political dynamics, following strong primary election results for the political right, which helped improve the balance of political risks despite ongoing inflation-related policy constraints.

Figure 3 | Indexes Total Returns (%)




Sources: JP Morgan, Bloomberg LP as of March 31, 2026.

Relative to Developed Markets (DM), EM credit demonstrated greater resilience during the period of heightened volatility. EM high-yield corporates experienced less spread widening than U.S. high yield and delivered positive returns for the quarter, while U.S. high yield posted losses. On the sovereign side, EM high yield broadly tracked U.S. high-yield performance, while EM investment-grade sovereigns underperformed, reflecting a combination of market weakness and duration sensitivity amid rising rates. As a result, EM versus DM spread differentials did not move materially despite elevated geopolitical noise, though dislocations created selective opportunities within specific EM regions and credits.


Primary market activity shifted sharply over the quarter, with issuance heavily front-loaded, as sovereign and corporate issuers took advantage of favorable conditions in January and February. As the Middle East conflict escalated, issuance slowed materially in March, sidelining many issuers and limiting access to lower-quality borrowers. Year-to-date (YTD) gross sovereign issuance reached \$109 billion, reflecting a continued trend toward front-loading that has characterized EM supply since 2022, leaving many sovereigns in a stronger funding position than during prior periods of geopolitical stress. EM corporate issuance totaled \$135 billion YTD, with net financing turning negative in March as markets largely closed, though first-quarter supply remained elevated due to strong early issuance, particularly in the Middle East and Africa. Investment-grade borrowers dominated issuance, accounting for 73% of corporate supply, underscoring both issuer and investor preference for higher-quality credits amid elevated uncertainty and volatile market conditions.⁵

Figure 4 | Sovereign Net Issuance January – February 2026



LHS: Jan-Feb net issuance (as % of total year net issuance). RHS: Annual gross issuance (USD bn).
 Source: JP Morgan as of April 1, 2026.

Figure 5 | Corporate Net Issuance



Source: JP Morgan as of March 31, 2026.


Market Outlook

Emerging Markets enter the second quarter of 2026 amid an increasingly complex macro and geopolitical backdrop. While EM assets have thus far demonstrated notable resilience following the escalation of the conflict in the Middle East, the sharp rise in oil prices has reintroduced concerns around inflation dynamics, the potential implications for Federal Reserve policy, and the broader outlook for Developed Market growth and rates. The duration and ultimate severity of the conflict remain uncertain, reinforcing our focus on longer-term fundamentals rather than short-term relative value dynamics, which may continue to shift rapidly as events unfold. In this environment, local markets have borne the brunt of volatility and are likely to remain the most sensitive until clearer geopolitical and macro visibility emerges. New issuance has slowed materially as both issuers and investors navigate elevated uncertainty. Against this backdrop, trade and tariff policy has faded into the background, overshadowed by more immediate geopolitical risks and their macroeconomic transmission channels.

Despite this uncertainty, EM fundamentals remain generally well positioned. Fiscal discipline, improved monetary frameworks and proactive engagement with multilateral institutions continue to underpin macro stability across much of the EM universe. Based on our sovereign analysis, the majority of EM countries continue to exhibit stable or improving fundamentals, with the potential for additional Rising Stars over the next several years, supported by positive fiscal and policy momentum. Political risk has remained relatively contained, with a favorable skew to election outcomes over the past three years. Looking ahead, elections in Brazil, Colombia, Peru and Hungary will be important to monitor and may serve as catalysts for incremental policy improvements, while also introducing bouts of near-term volatility around election cycles. Commodity dynamics remain supportive for many EM exporters, particularly in energy and metals, where higher prices provide either a direct macro tailwind or limited pass-through to inflation and external balances in select countries.

From a market and valuation perspective, EM continues to offer meaningful income and diversification benefits relative to Developed Markets. While recent EM performance has made market technicals more balanced, the asset class remains structurally underowned, following several years of allocator underweights driven by perceived complexity and volatility. As DM economies contend with weaker growth, rising fiscal pressures and less policy flexibility, many EM countries enter this period with stronger balance sheets and improved policy credibility. A potential continuation of U.S. dollar weakness over the medium term would further support flows into local currency strategies and more flexible hard-currency mandates, reinforcing EM's role as a differentiated source of income and return drivers within global portfolios.

Figure 6 | EM vs. DM Yield Advantage (bps)




Sources: JP Morgan, Bloomberg LP as of March 31, 2026.

Within this environment, heightened geopolitical uncertainty has reinforced the importance of portfolio flexibility and disciplined risk management. While geopolitical shocks can drive short-term volatility, they have historically also created attractive entry points across EM assets. We remain focused on maintaining adequate liquidity and dry powder to capitalize on opportunities that may arise during periods of market dislocation, while remaining selective in position sizing. We maintain a constructive view on U.S. dollar-denominated sovereign debt across the rating spectrum, balancing higher-quality investment-grade exposures with selective high-yield opportunities. The current environment favors sovereigns where elevated energy prices either provide a macro tailwind or have limited adverse implications for inflation and external balances. Within high yield, our focus remains on BB-rated sovereigns with improving debt sustainability and manageable refinancing profiles, while regionally we continue to favor Latin America, given relatively favorable fundamentals and more contained spillovers from Middle East dynamics.

Corporate fundamentals across EM remain solid, supported by disciplined liability management and stable leverage profiles. While EBITDA is likely to normalize from recent peaks, leverage increases should remain modest and manageable given strong starting balance sheets. Margin pressures related to tariffs or input costs warrant monitoring, but free cash flow generation should remain supportive as capital expenditures remain focused on maintenance rather than expansion. Valuations remain compelling, with spread-per-turn of leverage still meaningfully higher than comparable U.S. credit. We favor metals exporters, domestically focused issuers with demonstrated resilience through volatility and selective opportunities in utilities and technology, media and telecommunications. Our overweight to Latin American corporates is maintained, particularly in less cyclical names and those benefiting from nearshoring and deeper supply-chain integration.

Figure 7 | Spread-per-Turn of Leverage EM/U.S. for Corporate Credit




Source: BofA Research. Fundamentals data as of June 30, 2025, spreads data as of March 31, 2026.



The onset of the conflict in Iran has temporarily upended our previous expectation of a weakening U.S. dollar. The closure of the Strait of Hormuz has injected a pronounced war premium into energy markets, driving Brent crude above \$100 per barrel and triggering a flight-to-quality that reversed the dollar's earlier weakness, particularly against energy-importing countries. While near-term risks remain elevated due to tangible operational disruptions and potential second-order inflation effects, we view this dollar rebound as a tactical shift rather than a permanent structural break. Historical precedents suggest that markets often absorb geopolitical shocks relatively quickly once the triggering event subsides. As energy flows normalize, we expect investment behavior to revert toward our core themes of a marginally weaker U.S. dollar, allowing compelling EM real-rate stories in markets such as Brazil, Colombia and South Africa to reassert themselves. Against this backdrop, local currency debt remains attractive over the medium term, particularly in markets with credible inflation-targeting frameworks and elevated real yields, notwithstanding higher near-term volatility.

Figure 8 | Supportive EM Cycle



Source: MIM as of March 31, 2026.

Endnotes

¹ Bloomberg LP

² JP Morgan

³ JP Morgan

⁴ JP Morgan

⁵ Information in this paragraph sourced from JP Morgan

Information has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable but J.P. Morgan does not warrant its completeness or accuracy. The Index is used with permission. The Index may not be copied, used, or distributed without J.P. Morgan prior written approval. Copyright 2026, J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. All rights reserved.

Disclaimer

This material is intended solely for Institutional Investors, Qualified Investors and Professional Investors. This analysis is not intended for distribution with Retail Investors.

MetLife Investment Management (MIM), which includes PineBridge Investments, is MetLife Inc.'s institutional investment management business. MIM is a group of international companies that provides investment advice and markets asset management products and services to clients around the world.

This document is solely for informational purposes and does not constitute a recommendation regarding any investments or the provision of any investment advice, or constitute or form part of any advertisement of, offer for sale or subscription of, solicitation or invitation of any offer or recommendation to purchase or subscribe for any securities or investment advisory services. The views expressed herein are solely those of MIM and do not necessarily reflect, nor are they necessarily consistent with, the views held by, or the forecasts utilized by, the entities within the MetLife enterprise that provide insurance products, annuities and employee benefit programs. The information and opinions presented or contained in this document are provided as of the date it was written. It should be understood that subsequent developments may materially affect the information contained in this document, which none of MIM, its affiliates, advisors or representatives are under an obligation to update, revise or affirm. It is not MIM's intention to provide, and you may not rely on this document as providing, a recommendation with respect to any particular investment strategy or investment. Affiliates of MIM may perform services for, solicit business from, hold long or short positions in, or otherwise be interested in the investments (including derivatives) of any company mentioned herein. This document may contain forward-looking statements, as well as predictions, projections and forecasts of the economy or economic trends of the markets, which are not necessarily indicative of the future. Any or all forward-looking statements, as well as those included in any other material discussed at the presentation, may turn out to be wrong.

The various global teams referenced in this document, including portfolio managers, research analysts and traders are employed by the various legal entities that comprise MIM.

All investments involve risks including the potential for loss of principle and past performance does not guarantee similar future results.

For investors in the U.S.: This document is communicated by MetLife Investment Management, LLC (MIM, LLC), a U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) registered investment adviser. Registration with the SEC does not imply a certain level of skill or that the SEC has endorsed the investment adviser.

For investors in the UK: This document is being distributed by MetLife Investment Management Limited ("MIML"), authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority (FCA reference number 623761), registered address One Angel Lane 8th Floor London EC4R 3AB United Kingdom. This document is approved by MIML as a financial promotion for distribution in the UK. This document is only intended for, and may only be distributed to, investors in the UK who qualify as a "professional client" as defined under the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (2014/65/EU), as per the retained EU law version of the same in the UK.

For investors in the Middle East: This document is directed at and intended for institutional investors (as such term is defined in the various jurisdictions) only. The recipient of this document acknowledges that (1) no regulator or governmental authority in the Gulf Cooperation Council ("GCC") or the Middle East has reviewed or approved this document or the substance contained within it, (2) this document is not for general circulation in the GCC or the Middle East and is provided on a confidential basis to the addressee only, (3) MetLife Investment Management is not licensed or regulated by any regulatory or governmental authority in the Middle East or the GCC, and (4) this document does not constitute or form part of any investment advice or solicitation of investment products in the GCC or Middle East or in any jurisdiction in which the provision of investment advice or any solicitation would be unlawful under the securities laws of such jurisdiction (and this document is therefore not construed as such).

For investors in Japan: This document is being distributed by MetLife Investment Management Japan, Ltd. ("MIM JAPAN"), a registered Financial Instruments Business Operator ("FIBO") conducting Investment Advisory Business, Investment Management Business and Type II Financial Instruments Business under the registration entry "Director General of the Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Financial Instruments Business Operator) No. 2414" pursuant to the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act of Japan ("FIEA"), and a regular member of the Japan Investment Advisers Association and the Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association of Japan. In its capacity as a discretionary investment manager registered under the FIEA, MIM JAPAN provides investment management services and also sub-delegates a part of its investment management authority to other foreign investment management entities within MIM in accordance with the FIEA. This document is only being provided to investors who are general employees' pension fund based in Japan, business owners who implement defined benefit corporate pension, etc. and Qualified Institutional Investors domiciled in Japan. It is the responsibility of each prospective investor to satisfy themselves as to full compliance with the applicable laws and regulations of any relevant territory, including obtaining any requisite governmental or other consent and observing any other formality presented in such territory. As fees to be borne by investors vary depending upon circumstances such as products, services, investment period and market conditions, the total amount nor the calculation methods cannot be disclosed in advance. All investments involve risks including the potential for loss of principle and past performance does not guarantee similar future results. Investors should obtain and read the prospectus and/or document set forth in Article 37-3 of Financial Instruments and Exchange Act carefully before making the investments.

For Investors in Hong Kong S.A.R.: For Investors in Hong Kong S.A.R. MetLife Investment Management and PineBridge Investments operate through the following entities: MetLife Investments Asia Limited ("MIAL"), licensed by the Securities and Futures Commission ("SFC") for Type 1 (dealing in securities), Type 4 (advising on securities) and Type 9 (asset management) regulated activities, PineBridge Investments Asia Limited licensed by the SFC for Type 1 (dealing in securities), Type 4 (advising on securities) and Type 9 (asset management) regulated activities, and PineBridge Investments Hong Kong Limited licensed by the SFC for Type 1 (dealing in securities) and Type 9 (asset management) regulated activities. Unless otherwise stated, none of the authors of this article, interviewees or referenced individuals are licensed by the SFC to carry on regulated activities in Hong Kong S.A.R. The information contained in this document is for information purposes only and it has not been reviewed by the Securities and Futures Commission.

This document may also be distributed by certain PineBridge Investments affiliates acquired by MetLife Investment Management on December 30, 2025. For additional legal and regulatory disclosures including other cross border information, please refer to <https://www.pinebridge.com/en/regulatory-disclosure>.

For investors in Australia: This information is distributed by MIM LLC and is intended for "wholesale clients" as defined in section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) (the Act). MIM LLC exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services license under the Act in respect of the financial services it provides to Australian clients. MIM LLC is regulated by the SEC under US law, which is different from Australian law.

For investors in the EEA: This document is being distributed by MetLife Investment Management Europe Limited ("MIMEL"), authorised and regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland (registered number: C451684), registered address 20 on Hatch, Lower Hatch Street, Dublin 2, Ireland. This document is approved by MIMEL as marketing communications for the purposes of the EU Directive 2014/65/EU on markets in financial instruments ("MiFID II"). Where MIMEL does not have an applicable cross-border licence, this document is only intended for, and may only be distributed on request to, investors in the EEA who qualify as a "professional client" as defined under MiFID II, as implemented in the relevant EEA jurisdiction. The investment strategies described herein are directly managed by delegate investment manager affiliates of MIMEL. Unless otherwise stated, none of the authors of this article, interviewees or referenced individuals are directly contracted with MIMEL or are regulated in Ireland. Unless otherwise stated, any industry awards referenced herein relate to the awards of affiliates of MIMEL and not to awards of MIMEL.

05-28 5445763-[MIM Europe, MIAL (HK), MIM Japan, MIML (UK), MIM, LLC (US)]